Received 27 September 2004

Accepted 16 November 2004

Online 27 November 2004

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Ze-Qun Yan

Department of Chemistry, Xinyang Normal University, Henan 464000, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: zequnyan@eyou.com

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.009 Å Disorder in solvent or counterion R factor = 0.074 wR factor = 0.200 Data-to-parameter ratio = 11.8

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

trans-Diaquabis{2-[3-(dimethylamino)prop-2-enoyl]pyridine}nickel(II) bis(tetrafluoroborate) dimethylformamide tetrasolvate

The title compound, $[Ni(C_{10}H_{12}N_2O)_2(H_2O)_2](BF_4)_2$ 4C₃H₇NO, was synthesized by the reaction of 2-[3-(dimethylamino)prop-2-enoyl]pyridine and Ni(BF₄)₂ in dimethylformamide. It has been characterized by elemental analysis and X-ray diffraction techniques. The crystal structure reveals that the Ni^{II} atom, on an inversion center, has an NiN₂O₄ octahedral coordination environment with two carbonyl O atoms and two pyridyl N atoms in the equatorial plane and two water molecules in the axial positions. 2-[3-(Dimethylamino)prop-2-enovl]pyridine chelates to the Ni atom through the O and pyridine N atoms. Tetrafluoroborate counter-anions and dimethylformamide solvent molecules are not coordinated to the central metal ion.

Comment

The synthesis and design of supramolecular compounds with special geometric and topological character have attracted much attention in recent decades, because they display recognition, transformation and self-assembly properties (Zhu *et al.*, 2001; Braga, 2000). We have synthesized and characterized an Ni^{II} complex, (I), with the ligand 2-[3-(dimethylamino)prop-2-enoyl]pyridine (L).



The crystal structure analysis reveals that (I) is a monomeric Ni^{II} complex (Fig. 1). The coordination geometry around the Ni^{II} atom, which lies on an inversion center, is octahedral with two carbonyl O atoms and two pyridyl N atoms from L in the equatorial plane and two water molecules in the axial positions. The Ni–O, Ni–OW and Ni–N distances are 2.028 (3), 2.097 (4) and 2.038 (4) Å, respectively, which fall into the normal ranges. Ligand L chelates to the Ni atom through the O and pyridine N atoms, and the tetrafluoroborate counteranions and dimethylformamide solvent molecules are not coordinated to the central metal ion.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2004 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

Experimental

Complex (I) was prepared by reacting a dimethylformamide (DMF, 15 ml) solution of nickel(II) bis(tetrafluoroborate) with 2-[3-(dimethylamino)prop-2-enoyl]pyridine in a 1:2 ratio. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. Green block-shaped crystals were recovered by filtration and dried in air. The yield was *ca* 45% (based on Ni). Analysis calculated for $C_{32}H_{56}B_2F_8N_8NiO_8$: C 42.09, H 6.19, N 12.28%; found: C 42.34, H 6.28, N 12.51%.

Crystal data

[Ni(C ₁₀ H ₁₂ N ₂ O) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₂](BF ₄) ₂ -	Z = 1
4C ₃ H ₇ NO	$D_x = 1.343 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 913.18$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$	Cell parameters from 652
a = 10.036 (3) Å	reflections
b = 10.384 (3) Å	$\theta = 3.2-23.0^{\circ}$
c = 13.384 (3) Å	$\mu = 0.52 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\alpha = 107.744 \ (5)^{\circ}$	T = 293 (2) K
$\beta = 93.331 \ (5)^{\circ}$	Block, green
$\gamma = 118.732 \ (5)^{\circ}$	$0.25 \times 0.22 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$
$V = 11294(5) \text{ Å}^3$	

3835 independent reflections 2265 reflections with I > 2 s/(I)

H atoms treated by a mixture of

independent and constrained

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1115P)^2]$

where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.040$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 25.1^{\circ}$

 $\begin{array}{l} h=-11 \rightarrow 11 \\ k=-12 \rightarrow 12 \end{array}$

 $l = -14 \rightarrow 15$

refinement

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.84 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.54 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector
diffractometer
φ and ω scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
$T_{\min} = 0.743, T_{\max} = 0.902$
5657 measured reflections

Refinement

. . . .

Refinement on F^2	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.074$	
$wR(F^2) = 0.201$	
S = 0.98	
3835 reflections	
324 parameters	
•	

Table I			
Selected	geometric parameters	(Å,	°).

Ni1-O1 Ni1-N1	2.028 (3) 2.038 (4)	Ni1-O2	2.097 (4)
$\begin{array}{c} 01 - Ni1 - 01^{i} \\ 01 - Ni1 - N1 \\ 01^{i} - Ni1 - N1 \\ N1 - Ni1 - N1^{i} \\ 01 - Ni1 - 02^{i} \end{array}$	180 80.00 (16) 100.00 (16) 180 90.27 (16)	$N1-Ni1-O2^{i}$ O1-Ni1-O2 N1-Ni1-O2 $O2^{i}-Ni1-O2$	90.02 (17) 89.73 (16) 89.98 (17) 180

Symmetry code: (i) -x, 2 - y, 2 - z.

C-bound H atoms were placed at calculated positions and allowed to ride on their parent atoms $[C-H = 0.93 \text{ Å} \text{ and } U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)]$. H atoms of the water molecules were located in a



Figure 1

View of the title compound, showing ellipsoids at the 30%. Suffix A corresponds to symmetry code (i) in Table 1. Minor disorder components and H atoms are omitted.

difference map and were refined isotropically, with O–H distances restrained to 0.85 (1) Å. One of the solvent molecules is disordered and the occupancies of the two components C11, C12, C13, O3 and C11', C12', C13', O3' refined to 0.64 (2) and 0.36 (2). Atoms F3 and F4 of the tetrafluoroborate counter-anion are also disordered and the occupancies refined to 0.52 (4) and 0.48 (4).

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1998); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1997*a*); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997*a*); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 1997*b*); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

ZQY gratefully acknowledges the financial support of the Science Foundation of Hennan State Education Commission in China (No. 97150016).

References

- Braga, D. (2000). Acc. Chem. Res. 33, 601-608.
- Bruker (1998). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997*a*). *SHELXS*97 and *SHELXL*97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997b). SHELXTL. Version 5.1. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Zhu, Y., Wei, Y. L., Zhang, L. P., Hou, H. W., Fan, Y. T. & Du, C. X. (2001). *Acta Chim. Sin.* **59**, 1026–1028.