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## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=293 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.009 \AA$
Disorder in solvent or counterion
$R$ factor $=0.074$
$w R$ factor $=0.200$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=11.8$
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## trans-Diaquabis\{2-[3-(dimethylamino)prop-2-enoyl]pyridine\}nickel(II) bis(tetrafluoroborate) dimethylformamide tetrasolvate

The title compound, $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2}--$ $4 \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{NO}$, was synthesized by the reaction of 2-[3-(dimethylamino) prop-2-enoyl]pyridine and $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2}$ in dimethylformamide. It has been characterized by elemental analysis and X-ray diffraction techniques. The crystal structure reveals that the $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ atom, on an inversion center, has an $\mathrm{NiN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ octahedral coordination environment with two carbonyl O atoms and two pyridyl N atoms in the equatorial plane and two water molecules in the axial positions. 2-[3-(Dimethyl-amino)prop-2-enoyl]pyridine chelates to the Ni atom through the O and pyridine N atoms. Tetrafluoroborate counter-anions and dimethylformamide solvent molecules are not coordinated to the central metal ion.

## Comment

The synthesis and design of supramolecular compounds with special geometric and topological character have attracted much attention in recent decades, because they display recognition, transformation and self-assembly properties (Zhu et al., 2001; Braga, 2000). We have synthesized and characterized an $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complex, (I), with the ligand 2-[3-(di-methylamino)prop-2-enoyl]pyridine ( $L$ ).

(I)

The crystal structure analysis reveals that (I) is a monomeric $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complex (Fig. 1). The coordination geometry around the $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ atom, which lies on an inversion center, is octahedral with two carbonyl O atoms and two pyridyl N atoms from $L$ in the equatorial plane and two water molecules in the axial positions. The $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{OW}$ and $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{N}$ distances are 2.028 (3), 2.097 (4) and 2.038 (4) $\AA$, respectively, which fall into the normal ranges. Ligand $L$ chelates to the Ni atom through the O and pyridine N atoms, and the tetrafluoroborate counteranions and dimethylformamide solvent molecules are not coordinated to the central metal ion.

## Experimental

Complex (I) was prepared by reacting a dimethylformamide (DMF, 15 ml ) solution of nickel(II) bis(tetrafluoroborate) with 2-[3-(di-methylamino)prop-2-enoyl]pyridine in a 1:2 ratio. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . Green block-shaped crystals were recovered by filtration and dried in air. The yield was $c a 45 \%$ (based on Ni ). Analysis calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{NiO}_{8}$ : C 42.09, H 6.19 , N $12.28 \%$; found: C $42.34, \mathrm{H} 6.28$, N $12.51 \%$.

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot-$
$\quad 4 \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{NO}$
$M_{r}=913.18$
Triclinic, $P \overline{1}$
$a=10.036(3) \AA$
$b=10.384(3) \AA$
$c=13.384(3) \AA$
$\alpha=107.744(5)^{\circ}$
$\beta=93.331(5)^{\circ}$
$\gamma=118.732(5)^{\circ}$
$V=1129.4(5) \AA^{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z=1 \\
& D_{x}=1.343 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3} \\
& \text { Mo } K \alpha \text { radiation } \\
& \text { Cell parameters from } 652 \\
& \quad \text { reflections } \\
& \theta=3.2-23.0^{\circ} \\
& \mu=0.52 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1} \\
& T=293(2) \mathrm{K} \\
& \text { Block, green } \\
& 0.25 \times 0.22 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.743, T_{\text {max }}=0.902$
5657 measured reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.074$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.201$
$S=0.98$
3835 reflections
324 parameters

3835 independent reflections
2265 reflections with $I>2 \mathrm{~s} /(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.040$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=25.1^{\circ}$
$h=-11 \rightarrow 11$
$k=-12 \rightarrow 12$
$l=-14 \rightarrow 15$

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.1115 P)^{2}\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}+2 F_{c}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.84 \mathrm{e}^{\circ} \AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.54 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\left({ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| Ni1-O1 | $2.028(3)$ | Ni1-O2 | $2.097(4)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| Ni1-N1 | $2.038(4)$ |  |  |
| O1-Ni1-O1 ${ }^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 180 | $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{Ni} 1-\mathrm{O} 2^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $90.02(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Ni} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $80.00(16)$ | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Ni} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ | $89.73(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Ni} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $100.00(16)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Ni} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ | $89.98(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Ni} 1-\mathrm{N} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 180 | $\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Ni} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 180 |
| $\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Ni} 1-\mathrm{O} 2^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $90.27(16)$ |  |  |
| Symmer |  |  |  |

Symmetry code: (i) $-x, 2-y, 2-z$.
C-bound H atoms were placed at calculated positions and allowed to ride on their parent atoms $\left[\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.93 \AA\right.$ and $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=$ $\left.1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})\right] . \mathrm{H}$ atoms of the water molecules were located in a


Figure 1
View of the title compound, showing ellipsoids at the $30 \%$. Suffix A corresponds to symmetry code (i) in Table 1. Minor disorder components and H atoms are omitted.
difference map and were refined isotropically, with $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ distances restrained to 0.85 (1) $\AA$. One of the solvent molecules is disordered and the occupancies of the two components $\mathrm{C} 11, \mathrm{C} 12, \mathrm{C} 13, \mathrm{O} 3$ and $\mathrm{C11}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C12}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C13}^{\prime}, \mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ refined to 0.64 (2) and 0.36 (2). Atoms F3 and F4 of the tetrafluoroborate counter-anion are also disordered and the occupancies refined to 0.52 (4) and 0.48 (4).

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 1998); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997a); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997a); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1997b); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.
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